Finding Temporal Paths under Waiting Time Constraints

Philipp Zschoche



July 7 2020, Algorithmic Aspects of Temporal Graphs III

Based on joint work with Arnaud Casteigts, Anne-Sophie Himmel, and Hendrik Molter.





Infectious diseases are often transmitted via physical contact.



Infectious diseases are often transmitted via physical contact.

Contact Tracing:

- (1) Identify and isolate infected persons
- (2) Isolate all potentially infected persons (by known cases).



Infectious diseases are often transmitted via physical contact.

Contact Tracing:

- (1) Identify and isolate infected persons
- (2) Isolate all potentially infected persons (by known cases).

At time of identification: a person may started long infection chains.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

 $\tau :=$ lifetime

Can *s* have (indirectly) infected *z*?



Can *s* have (indirectly) infected *z*?





Can *s* have (indirectly) infected *z*?





Can s have (indirectly) infected z?



Can *s* have (indirectly) infected *z*?



Can *s* have (indirectly) infected *z*?



 \Rightarrow Time information is crucial for infection transmission routes.



A **temporal graph** $\mathcal{G} = (V, (E_i)_{i \in [\tau]})$ is defined as vertex set *V* with a list of edge sets E_1, \ldots, E_τ over *V*, where τ is the lifetime of \mathcal{G} .



























 Infectious period: 5 days.





 Infectious period: 5 days.





 Infectious period: 5 days.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)



Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

 $\tau :=$ lifetime



Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

 $\tau :=$ lifetime

A temporal (s, z)-path is a list of edges labeled with non-decreasing time steps that

A temporal (s, z)-path is a list of edges labeled with non-decreasing time steps that

• the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph

- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .

- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .

temporal (s, z)-paths:





- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .

temporal (s, z)-paths:





- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .

temporal (s, z)-paths:





- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .

temporal (s, z)-paths:







A \triangle -restless temporal (s, z)-path is a list of edges labeled with non-decreasing time steps that

- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .



• Temporal Paths: Xuan et al. [IJFCS '03], Wu et al. [IEEE TKDE '16]

- the edges form an (s, z)-path in the underlying graph and
- consecutive time steps differ by at most Δ .



- Temporal Paths: Xuan et al. [IJFCS '03], Wu et al. [IEEE TKDE '16]
- Restless Temporal Walks: Himmel et al. [Complex Networks '19]

Restless (s, z)-Path

Input: A temporal graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, (E_i)_{i \in [\tau]})$, two vertices $s, z \in V$, and an integer Δ .




Input: A temporal graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, (E_i)_{i \in [\tau]})$, two vertices $s, z \in V$, and an integer Δ . **Question:** Is there a Δ -restless temporal (s, z)-path in \mathcal{G} ?



Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

 $\tau :=$ lifetime





Input: A temporal graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, (E_i)_{i \in [\tau]})$, two vertices $s, z \in V$, and an integer Δ . **Question:** Is there a Δ -restless temporal (s, z)-path in \mathcal{G} ?



7/12

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**). For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**). For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc. **Temporal graph** problems: often **intractable**:

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

• Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18]

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20]

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,
- Temporal Exploration is W[1]-hard for pathwidth, and

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20] [Bodlaender et al., IPL '19]

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,
- Temporal Exploration is W[1]-hard for pathwidth, and
- Restless Path is W[1]-hard for FVS.

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20] [Bodlaender et al., IPL '19]

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,
- Temporal Exploration is W[1]-hard for pathwidth, and
- Restless Path is W[1]-hard for FVS.

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20] [Bodlaender et al., IPL '19]

With " $+\tau$ ": many problems become **FPT**,

e.g., **Restless Path** with $FVS+\tau$.

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,
- Temporal Exploration is W[1]-hard for pathwidth, and
- Restless Path is W[1]-hard for FVS.

With " $+\tau$ ": many problems become **FPT**,

~ We need new **parameters** to understand this gap.

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20] [Bodlaender et al., IPL '19]

e.g., **Restless Path** with $FVS+\tau$.

Parameterized Complexity: categorize on which instances a **problem** is **solvable** (or presumably **not**).

For **static graph** problems, literature has **many** parameters to do this: Vertex Cover, FVS, Treewidth, Diameter, etc.

Temporal graph problems: often intractable:

- Temporal Vertex Cover is NP-hard on stars,
- Temporal Matching is NP-hard on path,
- Temporal Exploration is W[1]-hard for pathwidth, and
- Restless Path is W[1]-hard for FVS.

With " $+\tau$ ": many problems become **FPT**,

e.g., **Restless Path** with $FVS+\tau$.

→ We need new **parameters** to understand this gap.

Today: Lifting Feedback Vertex Set for path-related temporal problems.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

[Akrida et al., ICALP '18] [Mertzios et al., STACS '20] [Bodlaender et al., IPL '19]

Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS: **Idea:** delete vertex appearances



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS:

Idea: delete vertex appearances **Formal:** min. size $X \subseteq V \times [\tau]$ such that $G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X) := (V, E')$ is a forest,



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS:

Idea: delete vertex appearances **Formal:** min. size $X \subseteq V \times [\tau]$ such that $G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X) := (V, E')$ is a forest, where $E' := \{\{v, w\} \mid \exists t : \{v, w\} \in E_t \land \{(v, t), (w, t)\} \cap X = \emptyset\}.$



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS:

Idea: delete vertex appearances **Formal:** min. size $X \subseteq V \times [\tau]$ such that $G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X) := (V, E')$ is a forest, where $E' := \{\{v, w\} \mid \exists t : \{v, w\} \in E_t \land \{(v, t), (w, t)\} \cap X = \emptyset\}$.

Structural properties: Similar to FVS in static graphs.



Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS:

Idea: delete vertex appearances **Formal:** min. size $X \subseteq V \times [\tau]$ such that $G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X) := (V, E')$ is a forest, where $E' := \{\{v, w\} \mid \exists t : \{v, w\} \in E_t \land \{(v, t), (w, t)\} \cap X = \emptyset\}$.



(v,t)

Structural properties: Similar to FVS in static graphs.

Observation

Underlying FVS \leq Timed FVS \leq Underlying FVS $\cdot \tau$

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

Underlying FVS: min |S|: $G_{\downarrow} - S$ is a forest.

Timed FVS:

Idea: delete vertex appearances **Formal:** min. size $X \subseteq V \times [\tau]$ such that $G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X) := (V, E')$ is a forest, where $E' := \{\{v, w\} \mid \exists t : \{v, w\} \in E_t \land \{(v, t), (w, t)\} \cap X = \emptyset\}$.



Structural properties: Similar to FVS in static graphs.

Observation

Underlying FVS \leq Timed FVS \leq Underlying FVS $\cdot \tau$

algorithmically useful: NP-hard, but FPT.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

$\tau :=$ lifetime

 $O^*(4^x)$ time

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Alg. Outline: Let X be the Timed FVS.

(1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Alg. Outline: Let X be the Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Alg. Outline: Let X be the Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (v,t_{1}) \in O \bullet - - - \stackrel{?}{-} - - \bullet \ (w,t_{2}) \in O & & \\ (v,t_{1}) \in I \bullet - - \stackrel{?}{-} - - \bullet \ (w,t_{2}) \in I & & \\ (v,t_{1}) \in O \bullet - - \stackrel{?}{-} - - \bullet \ (w,t_{2}) \in I & & \\ (v,t_{1}) \in O \bullet - - \stackrel{?}{-} - - \bullet \ (w,t_{2}) \in I & & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} & \\ \leftrightarrow & \\ \{v,w\} \in E_{t_{2}}, t_{2} \leq t_{1} + \Delta? & \\ \{v,w\} \in E_{t_{2}}, t_{1} = t_{2}? & \\ \end{array}$$

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Alg. Outline: Let X be the Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.

$$(v, t_1) \in O \bullet - - - ? - - - \bullet (w, t_2) \in O$$

 $(v, t_1) \in I \bullet - - - ? - - - \bullet (w, t_2) \in I$
 $(v, t_1) \in O \bullet - - ? - - - \bullet (w, t_2) \in I$
 $(v, t_1) \in I \bullet - - ? - - - \bullet (w, t_2) \in O$

 $\rightsquigarrow \{v, w\} \in E_{t_1}, t_2 - \Delta \leq t_1?$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \{v,w\} \in E_{t_2}, t_2 \leq t_1 + \Delta?$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \{v,w\} \in E_{t_2}, t_1 = t_2?$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightsquigarrow \qquad \{v, w\} \in E_{t'}, \\ \max\{t_1, t_2 - \Delta\} \leq t' \leq \min\{t_2, t_1 + \Delta\}? \end{array}$$

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

 $\tau :=$ lifetime
How to use Timed FVS

Theorem

Restless Path is FPT parameterized by Timed FVS.

Alg. Outline: Let X be the Timed FVS.

- (1) Guess whether (v, t) is Incoming, Outgoing, or unused.
- (2) Drop unused (v, t) from temporal graph.
- (3) Guess order of the remainder in X.
- (4) Check for time edges between consecutive $(v, t), (w, t) \in X$.
- (5) Find "unknown parts" with Multicolored Ind. Set on Chordal Graphs.

First, if (v, t) is still in X remove v from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

• Is departure time t_1 and arrival time t_2 "valid" (4 cases again)?

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time t_1 and arrival time t_2 "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time t_1 and arrival time t_2 "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?
- Is there a Δ -restless path v to w in $\mathcal{G}[P]$ plus e_1, e_2 ?

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time t_1 and arrival time t_2 "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?
- Is there a Δ -restless path v to w in $\mathcal{G}[P]$ plus e_1, e_2 ?

Lemma

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time *t*₁ and arrival time *t*₂ "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?
- Is there a Δ -restless path v to w in $\mathcal{G}[P]$ plus e_1, e_2 ?

Lemma

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time t_1 and arrival time t_2 "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?
- Is there a Δ -restless path v to w in $\mathcal{G}[P]$ plus e_1, e_2 ?

Intersection graphs of paths in trees are **chordal**.

Lemma

First, if (v, t) is still in *X* remove *v* from $T_{\downarrow} := G_{\downarrow}(\mathcal{G} - X)$.



For time edge $e_1 = (\{v, x\}, t_1)$ and $e_2 = (\{w, y\}, t_2)$:

- Is departure time *t*₁ and arrival time *t*₂ "valid" (4 cases again)?
- Is there a path *P* from *x* to *y* in T_{\downarrow} ?
- Is there a Δ -restless path v to w in $\mathcal{G}[P]$ plus e_1, e_2 ?

Intersection graphs of paths in trees are **chordal**.

Lemma (Bentert et al., J. Scheduling 19)

Multicolored Ind. Set on Chordal Graphs is FPT with # of colors.

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

Lemma





Future: temporal parameters!



Future: temporal parameters! How to design?



Future: temporal parameters! How to design? How to compute?



Future: temporal parameters! How to design? How to compute? Experiments?



Future: temporal parameters! How to design? How to compute? Experiments?

Philipp Zschoche (TU Berlin)

Thank you!